UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,777	02/09/2006	Keiji Dohi	060102	3783
	7590 03/27/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, I		SHARMA, RASHMI K		
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Communication		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/	567,777	DOHI, KEIJI	DOHI, KEIJI		
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit			
		RAS	SHMI K. SHARMA	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MUSICIONS OF THE MUSICION OF THE MUSICI	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I unication. tutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply by y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contr			
Status							
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition t	b)⊡ This action	on is non-final. xcept for formal matters,	•	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex pai</i>	<i>te Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	2006 is/are: a)[tion to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 11/9/2007.	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (US Patent number 6,634,461) in view of Volk et al. (US Patent number 2,970,549) and further in view of Minamikawa (US Patent number 6,695,126).

Baker discloses a vehicle transfer apparatus comprising a stanchion (18) disposed on both sides of the vehicle body, a lift-unit (12) which is provided to each stanchion (18) in the condition that a slide in an up-and-down direction along the stanchion (18) of the lift-unit is allowed, a tire supporter (36) which is provided to each lift-unit and supports a tire of the vehicle body in the condition that the lower part of the tire is exposed under the tire supporter, a lift-unit driver (40) which moves respective lift-units in an up-and-down direction, a tire supporter driver (an operator, read column 3 lines 18-20) which controls a linear motion of the tire supporter (36) and changes the position of the tire supporter between a tire support position and a passing position.

Baker fails to disclose a tire supporter driver controlling a linear motion of the tire supporter relative to the lift unit, wherein the tire supporter comprises a pair of chucking arms, an arm driver which controls an open and shut motion of the chucking arms and

changes the position of the pair of chucking arms between a tire holding position and a tire release position and wherein a part of the chucking arm that has contact with the tire is a rotatable roller.

Volk et al. does disclose a tire supporter driver (see Figures 2-4) controlling a linear motion of the tire supporter, wherein the tire supporter comprises a pair of chucking arms (9a and the arm portion directly above 9a connecting to axes 10 in Figure 3), an arm driver (motor 15, read column 3 lines 35-50) which controls an open and shut motion of the chucking arms and changes the position of the pair of chucking arms between a tire holding position and a tire release position and wherein a part of the chucking arm that has contact with the tire is a rotatable roller (read column 3 lines 70-75).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the tire supporter driver (an operator) and the tire supporter (36) of Baker's invention with that of Volk's tire supporter driver and Volk's tire supporter, as described above, in order to provide for an automated mechanical device to support the vehicle tires when transferring a vehicle within a conveyor system.

Baker as modified by Volk et al. as disclosed above, fails to show the apparatus transferring a vehicle between a conveyance line disposed in a lower-side of the vehicle transfer apparatus and a conveyance line disposed in an upper side of the vehicle transfer apparatus.

Minamikawa does disclose transferring a vehicle between a conveyance line disposed in a lower-side of the vehicle conveyance and a conveyance line disposed in an upper side of the vehicle conveyance line.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add upper and lower conveyance lines as taught by Minamikawa to Baker as modified by Volk et al.'s invention in order to provide for multiple conveyor lines within a conveyor system for transferring vehicle bodies from one conveyor line to another, as conveyor systems having upper and lower conveyor lines are well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RASHMI K. SHARMA whose telephone number is (571)272-6918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rks

/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651 Application/Control Number: 10/567,777

Page 6

Art Unit: 3651